

| Stratification of CV Risk in Four Categories |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blood Pressure (mmHg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Risk Factors, OD or Disease | Normal SBP 120-129 or DBP 80-84 | High Normal SBP 130-139 or DBP 85-89 | Grade 1 HT SBP 140-159 or DBP 90-99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 2 \text { HT } \\ & \text { SBP 160-179 } \\ & \text { or DBP 100-109 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 3 \text { HT } \\ \text { SBP } \geq 180 \\ \text { or } \operatorname{DBP} \geq 110 \end{gathered}$ |
| No other risk factors | Average risk | Average risk | Low added risk | Moderate added risk | High added risk |
| 1-2 risk factors | Low added risk | Low added risk | Moderate added risk | Moderate added risk | Very high added risk |
| 3 or more Risk Factors, MS, OD or Diabetes | Moderate added risk | High added risk | High added risk | High added risk | Very high added risk |
| Established CV or renal disease | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk |
|  The term "added" indicates that in all categories risk is greater than average. OD: subclinical organ damage; MS: metabolic syndrome. |  |  |  |  |  |

## High / Very High Risk Patients

O BP $\geq 180 / 110 \mathrm{mmHg}$
O BP $\geq 130 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ if:

- Risk factors $\geq 3$
- Diabetes
- Associated CVD
- TOD


LVH
CA thickening Microalbuminuria Mild renal damage - $\mathrm{SCr}>1.3-1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\mathrm{M}) / 1.2-1.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (IN) (routine examination)

## Survival Probability for CVD Mortality in Younger and Older Men according to the Presence of Hypertension and Associated Risk Factors



## Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves for CV Death and All Cause Death in Subjects Without and With Metabolic Syndrome

All cause death


Cardiovascular death


## LIFE Substudy: Kaplan-Meier Plots on Accumulated Freedom of CV events according to Urine Albumin/Creatinine Ratio (UACR) and LV Mass



$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\quad \text { UACR }<1.406, \text { LV mass }<194 \\
& \text { UACR } \geq 1.406, \text { LV mass }<194 \\
& \text { UACR } \geq 1.406, \text { LV mass } \geq 264
\end{aligned}
$$

Percentage of hypertensive patients


## Relationship of Smoking Habit, Hypercholesterolemia, IIG and Diabetes to Ofilice, Home, 24h SBP Quartiles






> | $-\square$ Office SBP |
| :--- |
| $-\longrightarrow$ Home SBP |
| $\rightarrow-24 \mathrm{~h}$ SBP |
| P $<0.05$ for trend |

## Assessment of Total (Global) CV Risk

## JNC 7

$\longrightarrow$ No


## ESH/ESC Guidelines and Search for Subclinical Organ Damage

| $\begin{array}{\|c} 2003 \\ \text { GLs } \end{array}$ | $\uparrow \mathrm{SCr}$ (> 1.4-1.5 mg/dl) | LVH (EKG/Echo) <br> CA thickening / plaques MA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Routine | Recommended | Mentioned |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 2007 | 个 SCr (> 1.4-1.5 mg/dl) | LVH (EKG/Echo) | Systolic dysfunction |
| GLs | $\downarrow \mathrm{eCrCl} / \mathrm{GFR}$ | Concentric LVH | Diastolic dysfunction |
|  | MA | LA enlargement | Coronary $\mathrm{Ca}^{++}$ |
|  |  | CA thickening / plaques | Arteriolar remodelling |
|  |  | Ankle/Brachial ratio | Collagen markers |
|  |  | Arterial stiffening (PWV)* | Endothelial dysfunction Cerebral lacunae / WMLs |
| * Depending on availability / also shown by high SBP/ / ow DBP |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Retinopathy |

## Risk Reclassification in APROS Study



## Treatment of Hypertensives at High / Very High Risk

- Intensive life-style changes (use of specific professionals)
- Drug treatment in the high-normal BP range
- Target $\mathrm{BP}<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$
- BP control without delay
- Antiplatelet / lipid lowering treatment
- Drugs more effective on regression of organ damage to be included

| Stratification of CV Risk in Four Categories |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blood Pressure (mmHg) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Risk Factors, OD or Disease | Normal SBP 120-129 or DBP 80-84 | High Normal <br> SBP 130-139 or DBP 85-89 | Grade 1 HT <br> SBP 140-159 <br> or DBP 90-99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } 2 \text { HT } \\ & \text { SBP 160-179 } \\ & \text { or DBP 100-109 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 3 \mathrm{HT} \\ \mathrm{SBP} \geq 180 \\ \text { or } \mathrm{DBP} \geq 110 \end{gathered}$ |
| No other risk factors | Average risk | Average risk | Low addéd risk | Moderate added risk | High added risk |
| 1-2 risk factors | Low added risk | Low added risk | Moderate ádded risk | Moderate added risk | Very high added risk |
| 3 or more Risk Factors, MS, OD or Diabetes | Moderate added risk | High addeá risk | High added risk | High added risk | Very high added risk |
| Established CV or renal disease | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk | Very high added risk |
| SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; CV: cardiovascular; HT: hypertension. Low, moderate, high, very high risa refer to $10 y$ year risk of a CV fatal or non-fatal event. The term "added" indicates that in all categories risk is greater than average. OD: subclinical organ damage; MS: metabolic syndrome. |  |  |  |  |  |

## BP Threshold / Target in the General Hypertensive Population

BP threshold<br>$\geq 140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$

< 140/90 mmHig
(and lower values if tolerated)

## Beneficial Effects of Tighter BP Control



## HOT Study: \% of Patients on Combination Reaching Target



## On-treatment BP in Recent Trials



## VALUE: Analysis of Results Based on BP Control at 6 Months


Patients Treated With Amlodipine

## 2007 ESH/ESC Guidelines Monotherapy versus Combination Therapy Strategies



## RR of CVD with Low-Dose Aspirin (vs Placebo) in HOT

## On-treatment BP (mmHg) <br> ~ 140/83

Medium risk
1.00

High / very high risk
0.78 \%

* statistically significant


## On-Treatment BP and $\Delta$ Events with Atorvastatin (vs Placebo) in ASCOT *

- All patients with $\geq 3$ risk factors
- BP (mmHg)
- Stroke
- CHID
- CVD
- Total mortality
* All changes stastically significant


## Importance of Identification of Patients at High / Very High CV Risk

1. Drug treatment to be promptly instituted
2. Combination treatment usually necessary
3. Specific antihypertensive agents may be needed
4. Lower BP threshold ( $<130 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) and targets ( $<130 / 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) for treatment
5. Use of aspirin and statins

## 2007 ESH/DSC Guidelines <br> Combinations between Some Classes of Antihypertensive Drugs



## Systematic Review of the Antihypertensive Activity of ARBs:

 BP Reduction over 24 hours

## Combination Treatment with ARB: Unique Position of Olmesartan

Absolute DBP reductions


## Role of Drugs' Specific Properties vs BP Reduction per se in CV Protection of Hypertensive Patients



## Vascular Protection by Olmesartan vs, Atenolol: The MORE Study



## Average Changes in Wall-to-lumen Ratio in Normotensive Controls and in Stage 1 Hypertension Patients Before and After 1 Year of Treatment with Olmesartan or Atenolol



