



TURIN

October 24th-26th

2019

THE CHALLENGE

A patient with migraine, positive MR and PFO:

What to do?



Dott. Gabriele Crimi SC. Cardiologia Emodinamica IRCCS Fondazione Policlinico San Matteo di Pavia



• Recurrent of migraine without aurea

24th-26th

2019

 Multiple areas of altered signal hyperintense at T2 acquisitions, in the white matter





TURIN

October 24th-26th

2019

Recurrent of migraine without aurea

• Multiple areas of altered signal hyperintense at T2 acquisitions, in the white matter





Right to left shunt of circulating factors

TURIN

October 24th-26th





PFO closure and Migraine NO RESOLUTION

Author	Log (odds of resolution)	SE	Resolved (n)	Total (N)	Weight (%)	Odds of resolution IV, random, 95% Cl	Year	Odds of resolution IV, random, 95% Cl
Wilmshurst	-0.69	0.46	7	21	4.4	0.50 (0.20-1.24)	2000	
Morandi	-0.88	0.53	5	17	3.9	0.42 (0.15-1.18)	2003	
Post	0.64	0.41	17	26	4.9	1.89 (0.84-4.24)	2004	— —
Azarbal	0.38	0.33	22	37	5.6	1.47 (0.76-2.83)	2005	— —
Mortelmans	0.18	0.43	12	22	4.7	1.20 (0.52-2.78)	2005	<u> </u>
Reisman	0.24	0.28	28	50	6.0	1.27 (0.73-2.22)	2005	— >—
Anzola	-0.58	0.29	18	50	5.9	0.56 (0.32-1.00)	2006	
Donti	1.58	0.45	29	35	4.5	4.83 (2.01-11.64)	2006	
Slavin	0.41	0.29	30	50	6.0	1.50 (0.85-2.64)	2007	
Jesurum	-0.13	0.23	36	77	6.5	0.88 (0.56-1.37)	2008	
Dubiel	-1.16	0.35	11	46	5.5	0.31 (0.16-0.62)	2008	
Luermans	0.89	0.45	17	24	4.5	2.43 (1.01-5.86)	2008	
Chessa	-1.04	0.35	11	42	5.4	0.35 (0.18-0.71)	2009	
Vigna	-0.66	0.29	18	53	6.0	0.51 (0.29-0.91)	2009	— —
Trabattoni	-0.85	0.25	23	77	6.4	0.43 (0.26-0.69)	2011	
Rigatelli	0.05	0.22	41	80	6.6	1.05 (0.68-1.63)	2012	— —
Nagpal	-0.13	0.26	28	60	6.3	0.87 (0.53-1.45)	2013	
Wahl	-0.66	0.17	51	150	7.0	0.52 (0.37-0.72)	2013	
Total (95% CI)			404	917	100.0	0.85 (0.64-1.14)		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.29; Chi ² =71.69, df=17 (P<0.00001); l ² =76%							0.05	0.2 1 5 20

Kanwar SM, Clin Trials Regul Sci Cardiol 2016;1;15:7-13

TURIN

October 24th-26th



PFO closure and Migraine *possible* **IMPROVEMENT**

Author	Log (odds of improvement)	SE	Improved (n)	Total (N)	Weight (%)	Odds of improvement IV, random, 95% CI	Year	Odds of improvement IV, random, 95% CI
Wilmshurst	0.92	0.48	15	21	4.6	2.50 (0.97-6.44)	2000	
Morandi	2.01	0.75	15	17	2.5	7.50 (1.72-32.80)	2003	
Schwarzmann	0.99	0.32	35	48	7.0	2.69 (1.42-5.09)	2004	
Reisman	0.85	0.31	35	50	7.3	2.33 (1.27-4.27)	2005	
Azarbal	1.13	0.38	28	37	6.0	3.11 (1.47-6.59)	2005	
Donti	2.37	0.60	32	35	3.4	10.67 (3.27-34.83)	2006	<u> </u>
Anzola	1.99	0.44	44	50	5.2	7.33 (3.13-17.21)	2006	— —
Slavin	1.39	0.35	40	50	6.5	4.00 (2.00-8.00)	2007	
Kimmelstiel	1.61	0.55	20	24	3.9	5.00 (1.71-14.63)	2007	— —
Jesurum	0.79	0.25	53	77	8.5	2.21 (1.36-3.58)	2008	
Dubiel	1.90	0.44	40	46	5.2	6.67 (2.83-15.72)	2008	— >—
Luermans	3.14	1.02	23	24	1.5	23.00 (3.11-170.31)	2008	→→
Vigna	1.88	0.41	46	53	5.7	6.57 (2.97-14.55)	2009	— >—
Chessa	1.30	0.38	33	42	6.1	3.67 (1.75-7.66)	2009	
Trabattoni	0.39	0.23	46	77	8.8	1.48 (0.94-2.34)	2011	
Rigatelli	1.24	0.27	62	80	8.1	3.44 (2.04-5.82)	2012	
Wahl	1.30	0.20	118	150	9.5	3.69 (2.50-5.45)	2013	-0-
Total (95% CI)			685	881	100.0	3.63 (2.80-4.71)		•

Kanwar SM, Clin Trials Regul Sci Cardiol 2016;1;15:7-13

TURIN

October 24th-26th



MIST Study 2008

- PFO closure (Starflex) vs Sham 1:1
- N=147 migraine w/aura
- EP1 cessation of symptoms
 - N=3 vs 3 cessations

N=10 procedure related sAE

Dawson A. et al Circulation. 2008;117:1397–404.

PRIMA Study 2016

- PFO (Amplatzer) vs Medical 1:1
- N=107 migraine w/aura
- EP1 reduction of migraine days
 - 2.9 vs 1.7 days p=0.17

N=5 procedure related sAE

Mattle HP et. al. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2029–36.

PREMIUM Study 2017

TURIN

October 24th-26th 2019

- PFO closure (Amplatzer) vs Sham
- N=230 migraine w/ and w/out aura
- EP1 -50% migraine episodes/month

38% vs 32% p=0.30

N=1 transient Afib

Tobis JM et. al. JACC. 2017;5:2766–74



• Recurrent of migraine without aurea

24th-26th

2019

 Multiple areas of altered signal hyperintense at T2 acquisitions, in the white matter



24th-26th 2019

Recurrent of migraine without aurea

 Multiple areas of altered signal hyperintense at T2 acquisitions, in the white matter



PFO closure would make sense

TURIN

October 24th-26th





Black or White MATTER!

TURIN

October 24th-26th







White matter lesion = Leukoaraiosis = gliosi

Table 2	Population-based studies of white matter abnormalities
---------	--

	Imaging	Number	(%) of W	/MAs				
Study name (year)		МА	МО	Control	Proportion of migraine group and controls (mean age, years); OR and p values; possible associations with migraine-related variables			
CAMERA-1 ¹⁰ (2004)	1.5-T MRI	34 (21)	31 (23)	22 (16)	MA, n = 161; MO, n = 134; controls, n = 140 (73% women; mean age 48.3 years); the number of deep WMAs is presented (%); migraineurs compared to controls: MA (OR 2.0; 95% Cl 1.0-4.3) and MO (OR 2.1; 95% Cl 1.0-4.7) compared to controls (OR 1.0) ^a ; no association between WMAs and migraine subtype; an association between WMAs and attack frequency: \leq 1 attack per month (OR 1.6; 95% Cl 0.8-3.5) vs \geq 1 attack per month (OR 2.6; 95% Cl 1.2-5.7) (p = 0.008).			
CAMERA-1 ¹¹ (2006)	1.5-T MRI	8 (5)	5 (4)	1 (1)	MA, $n = 161$; MO, $n = 134$; controls, $n = 140$ (73% women; mean age 48.3 years); the number of IHLs is presented (%); an association between migraine and IHLs ($p = 0.04$); no association between IHLs and migraine subtype, attack frequency, age at onset, or antimigraine therapy.			
EVA-MRI ¹³ (2011)	1.0-T MRI	10 (59)	38 (38)	190 (31)	MA, n = 17; MO, n = 99; nonmigraine headaches, n = 47; controls, n = 617 (59% women, mean age 69 years); the number of deep WMAs is presented (%); migraineurs and nonmigraine headache compared to controls: MA (OR 12.4; 95% Cl 1.6-99.4; $p = 0.005$), MO (OR 1.6; 95% Cl 0.9-2.7; $p = 0.11$), and nonmigraine headache (OR 2.1; 95% Cl 1.0-4.4; $p = 0.03$) compared to controls (OR 1.0); an association between WMAs and severe history of headaches ($p = 0.002$); an association between WMAs and MA ($p = 0.005$); attack frequency is not reported.			
CAMERA-2 ¹⁴ (2012)	1.5-T MRI	84 (74)	68 (76)	54 (65) ^b	MA, $n = 114$; MO, $n = 89$; control, $n = 83$ (71% women, mean age 57 years).			
		11 (10)	15 (17)	2 (2) ^c	The number of deep WMAs ^b and IHLs ^c are presented; migraineurs compared to controls: WMA progression (OR 2.1; 95% Cl 1.0-4.1; $p = 0.04$) ^{a,b} ; migraineurs compared to controls: IHL progression (OR 7.7; 95% Cl 1.0-59.5; $p = 0.05$) ^{a,c} ; no association between WMA progression and attack frequency, attack duration, type of attack, and antimigraine therapy; no association between IHL progression and migraine subtype and attack frequency.			

Bashir A et. al. Neurology 2013;81;1260-1268



TURIN

October 24th-26th

C

2019

What are White Matter Hyperintensities Made of?





Joanna M. Wardlaw. Journal of the American Heart Association. What are White Matter Hyperintensities Made of?, Volume: 4, Issue: 6, DOI: (10.1161/JAHA.114.001140)



Lets make a poll!



Dimensione Immagine: 512 x 512 WL: 128 WW: 189

2416861 (36 y, 34 y) Coronarografia CardiacaBassa Dose

TURIN October $24^{th}\text{--}26^{th}$

2019

Zoom: 142% Im: 1/56 Series: 7 LittleEndianExplicit

08/03/18, 08:53:54 1 hr, 54 min Made In OsiriX





TURIN October 24th-26th 2019



PFO closure would make sense

TURIN

October 24th-26th





 There are no solid randomized data which support PFO closure in patients with migraine w/ or w/out aurea

ⁿ-26th

- T2 hyperintensities, in the white matter are likely small vessel disease in a patient w/ hypertension
- There are **no high anatomical risk features** of the *fossa ovalis*





 $24^{\text{th}} - 26^{\text{th}}$

2019

THE CHALLENGE

A patient with migraine, positive MR and PFO:

What to do?

Let's wait, control atherosclerosis risk factors and follow the patient