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# Physiological rate regulation

## Sensor classification*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor technology</th>
<th>Tertiary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Accelerometer**
  - Detects parameters resulting from exercise

---
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Sensor classification*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor technology</th>
<th>Tertiary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minute ventilation, blended sensors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Detects parameters resulting from exercise</td>
<td>Detects parameters resulting from metabolic demand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Physiological rate regulation

### Sensor classification*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor technology</th>
<th>Tertiary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Primary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accelerometer</td>
<td>Minute ventilation, blended sensors</td>
<td>Closed Loop Stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Detects parameters <em>resulting</em> from exercise</td>
<td>Detects parameters <em>resulting</em> from metabolic demand</td>
<td>Detects parameters <em>influencing</em> cardiac function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Physiological rate regulation

Closed Loop Stimulation - clinical rational

- Accelerometer and minute ventilation algorithms respond only during physical activity, independently of cardiac function.

- Effective response in all other mental situations is needed.

- A modulated response related to the cardiac function is more physiological and clinical appropriate.

Therefore, pacing modulation requires a natural mechanism of autonomic and contractility mediated control.
The main target of the Cardiovascular System is to PRESERVE an optimal perfusion in all tissues of the body. When a controlled variable (e.g. atrial blood pressure, temperature, vasodilatation, etc.) changes its value ALTERING the optimal perfusion, the System reacts modulating the other variables in the attempt to RE-ESTABLISH the conditions of EQUILIBRIUM.
Impaired Cardiac Dynamics in Presence of Rhythm Pathology

When CHRONOTROPIC and/or DROMOTROPY functions are depressed

The Cardiovascular System attempts to restore the hemodynamic equilibrium increasing the INOTROPIC response (Myocardial Contractility) to preserve Cardiac Output
Control of Impaired Cardiac Dynamics with CONTRACTILITY related Pacing

The CONTRACTILITY RELATED PACING modulates the Heart Rate in accordance with real and contingent physiopathological needs, since it is driven by INOTROPY (Contractility) variations.

By this way, the device is integrated in the closed loop of the natural control system and allows to the Autonomic Nervous System to maintain the total control on Cardiac Output.
Impedance has a direct correlation with myocardial wall motion (inotropy).
- Changes in myocardial wall motion directly correlate to changes in autonomic tone.
- Increased inotropy compensates for the decreased heart rate.

**Closed Loop Stimulation - measuring impedance**

- Larger fraction of myocardium = high impedance
- Smaller fraction of myocardium = low impedance
Closed Loop Stimulation - reference versus load curve

- With each heartbeat, CLS determines the impedance curve ($V_{\text{IMP}}$) during ventricular contraction (load curve) and compares it to its reference curve at rest (rest curve).

No particular lead needed
Clinical applications of **CLOSED LOOP STIMULATION - CLS**

1) Rate responsive pacing regulation
2) Ventricular function related pacing rate control
3) Hemodynamic cardiac function monitoring
4) Vaso Vagal syncope prevention
Contractility guided rate responsive

Sinus node → Contraction Dynamics → Circulatory centers

CLS

HR → SV → MABP

CO
Pacing regulation in response to changes in contraction dynamic

- **Low rate regulation**
- **Medium rate regulation**
- **High rate regulation**
Closed Loop Stimulation - clinical examples*

- Adequate heart rate adaptation during physical activity, even with differing levels (e.g., treadmill, cycling)

Closed Loop Stimulation - clinical examples*

- Restoration of ANS control enables patients to respond to daily activities that involve mental stress.

![Graph showing rate variation during different activities (rest, explanation, stress, recovery) comparing Mean CLS and Mean DDDR. The graph indicates that CLS clearly demonstrates superior rate adaptation compared with accelerometer.

*Zecchi et al.; CLS vs. DDDR pacing: Benefits of hemodynamic pacing, Prog Biomedical Res, 2000, 5:292–296
CLS - Rate Response physiological response

• Clinical observations with Closed Loop Stimulation pacemakers in a large patient cohort: the CYLOS routine documentation registry (RECORD), M. Lindovskà et al., EUROPACE, 2012

• Closed Loop Stimulation is Effective in Improving Heart Rate and Blood Pressure Response to Mental Stress: Report of a Single-Chamber Pacemaker Study in Patients with Chronotropic Incompetent Atrial Fibrillation, R. Proietti et al, PACE, 2012

• Effect of rate-adaptive pacing on performance and physiological parameters during activities of daily living in the elderly: results from the CLEAR (Cylos Responds with Physiological Rate Changes during Daily Activities) study, Freddy M. Abi-Samra et Al., Europace, 2013
**CLS - Physiological Response**

**Lindovskà, RECORD Study (Europace 2012)**

- **Aim:** 706 patients were enrolled in the clinical investigation ‘Record registry. Physicians’ satisfaction with medical benefits and technical performance of CLS in each patient was measured.

- **Results:** “clinical performance of CLS was very satisfactory in the large cohort studied”. RECORD investigators’ satisfaction with CLS performance did not depend on the chronotropic status of the patient.

**Physicians judgments on technical performance and medical benefits of CLS**

Patients subgroups were formed according to the NYHA functional classification, right ventricular lead position, pacing mode, and chronotropic incompetence.
CLS - Physiological Response

Proietti (PACE 2012)

- **Aim:** CLS and accelerometer sensor were compared intraindividually during a mental stress test (MST) in 36 patients with single chamber pacemaker implants (AF with chronotropic incompetence).

- **Results:** “CLS algorithm in a single-chamber device is more effective than accelerometer in detecting an hemodynamic demand due to emotional stress and supplying a proper HR increase and a better pressure profile during mental stress”.

Study flowchart

Patient example: Higher variation in HR and Blood pressure during mental stress

Heart rate variation

Blood pressure variation
**CLS - Physiological Response**

**Abi-Samra, CLEAR study (Europace 2013)**

- **Aims:** compare the performance and physiological response of the CLS sensor to accelerometer during typical daily activity in 74 elderly patients
- **Results:** “CLS provides a more physiological response during the performance of activities of daily living in patients with ≥80% pacing”.

- “Use of CLS resulted in over a 75% reduction in the prevalence of orthostatic hypotension (OH) after standing 1 min as compared with XL and DDD.”

![Change in blood pressure scattergram](image1.png)

**Table 3: Prevalence of OH during stand-and-go test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OH, n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted results</th>
<th>Adjusted for order effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value CLS vs.</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately after standing (n = 46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>6 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.14, 1.25)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL</td>
<td>12 (26.1)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.18, 1.63)</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD</td>
<td>10 (21.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After standing 1 min (n = 46)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLS</td>
<td>3 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.04, 0.60)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL</td>
<td>14 (30.4)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.05, 0.67)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDD</td>
<td>13 (28.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OH: orthostatic hypotension; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CLS, closed-loop stimulation.

The linear correlation coefficient is close to 0 only for CLS in 1 minute (the dispersion is similar to a circle), so there is no linear correlation between systolic and diastolic pressure.
CLS and hemodynamic response
Usefulness of Hemodynamic sensors for physiologic cardiac pacing in heart failure patients. **E. Occhetta et al, Cardiology Research and Practice, 2011**

CLS and Heart Failure
Biventricular pacing improves cardiac function and prevents further left atrial remodeling in patients with symptomatic atrial fibrillation after atrioventricular node ablation. **M.V. Orlov et al, American Heart Journal, 2010**
CLS and Hemodynamic Response

Occhetta (Cardiology Research and Practice, 2011)

- Aim: evaluation of different hemodynamic sensors in terms of advantages and benefits they can offer.

Closed Loop Stimulation
Biotronik

Peak Endocardial Acceleration
Sorin Group

Trans Valvular Impedance
Medico
Hemodynamic Response

Occhetta (Cardiology Research and Practice, 2011)

- Results:
  - “The fourth generation of CLS devices, operating on both sensed and paced ventricular beats, have overcome the major limitation of the previous systems which required permanent ventricular pacing”.
  - “Specially in heart failure patients CLS could assure an optimal upper rate limit control, reducing deleterious inappropriate rate response induced by motion sensors”
  - “Hemodynamic sensors might play a role in the long-term monitoring of heart failure, helping the physician in the individual care of each patient”.
CLS and Heart Failure

Orlov (American Heart Journal 2010)

- Aim: 108 patients with refractory AF underwent AV node ablation and were randomized (2:2:1) to BiV pacing with CLS, BiV pacing with accelerometer, or right ventricular (RV) pacing.

- Results: “RV pacing results in significant increase in left atrial volume, LV mass, and worsening of LV contractility compared to patients receiving BiV pacing post-AV node ablation for refractory AF”.

- “Closed Loop Stimulation was not associated with structural changes; and heart rate distribution was significantly wider with CLS” (decrease of sympathetic tone)

The data demonstrate that there was a significantly wider heart rate distribution in patients with CLS, as compared to patients with accelerometer-based rate adaption.
CLS detects onset of VV spell through variations of contractility and reacts with a rate increase after ~ 4.5 min from tilt up. This timely intervention early suppresses the bradycardic effect and counterbalances the associated hypotension.
At the onset of a VV spell Sympathetic Tone and Contractility increase.

CLS Pacing will early react with a dominant pacing rate

Preventing the Sympathetic Tone and contrasting the Vagal Tone

Hypotension and Bradycardia are prevented

Syncope is PREVENTED
INVASY Study (Occhetta et al. Europace 2004; 6:538-547)

Patient Selection → Pacemaker Implant → Randomization 1:2

- DDI @40 bpm
- DDD CLS

1st Spell → 2nd Spell

6 m F-U → 1 y F-U

Study conclusion

6 m F-U → 1 y F-U
**INVASY Study** *(Occhetta et al. Europace 2004; 6:538-547)*

![Kaplan-Meier event-free curve for both arms](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CLS arm</th>
<th>PLACEBO arm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pts with VVS recurrence, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>7 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VVS episodes, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VVS spells per pt, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to 1st recurrence, months (range)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 (0.5-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of VVS spells per year</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long-term follow-up of DDDR closed-loop cardiac pacing for the prevention of recurrent vasovagal syncope

Miriam Bortnik, Eraldo Occhetta, Gabriele Dell’Era, Gioel G. Secco, Anna Degiovanni, Laura Plebani and Paolo Marino

Number of pre- and post-CLS stimulation and post-CLS pacing for each patient are shown. It is noteworthy that only five patients were symptomatic for vasovagal syncope (VVS) after CLS implant, and that a benefit was observed in all the patients (the number of post-CLS syncs was never greater than the one before CLS pacing). One patient is not represented because of the too high number of syncopal spells before pacemaker implant.

Kaplan-Meier analysis, freedom from vasovagal syncope is showed. Closed-loop stimulation (CLS) pacing shows a great benefit over conventional pacing (placebo’ data from the INVASY study), providing a very long syncope-free time span.
CLS pacing in VVS prevention

2007 ESC Task Force “Guidelines for Cardiac Pacing and Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy”
... the “recurrent severe vasovagal syncope with prolonged asystole during ECG recording and/or tilt test, after failure of other therapeutic options and being informed of the conflicting results of trials”

.... It has been shown in small series that pacemakers with haemodynamic sensors (intracardiac impedance and peak endocardial acceleration) have the capability to diagnose the vasovagal episode earlier than at the moment of rate drop......

European Heart Journal 2007, 28:2256-2295
Europace 2007; 9:959-998
Clinical applications of CLOSED LOOP STIMULATION - CLS

CONCLUSIONS

Clinical benefits in:

1) Rate responsive pacing regulation
   • optimal rate increase related to exercise or mental stress
   • pacing rate automatic control related to left ventricular function

2) Hemodynamic cardiac function monitoring

3) Vaso Vagal syncope prevention